# Silvicultural rehabilitation of cutover mixedwood stands

Laura Kenefic, Jeremy Wilson, John Brissette, Ralph Nyland and Rob Lilieholm

**U.S. Forest Service, Northern Research Station** 

University of Maine, School of Forest Resources

SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry









# Exploitive cutting

Timber extraction without attention to regeneration or tending
Understocked and patchy residual stands
Undesirable species
Low vigor and quality



## **Acadian Forest**





## Northern conifers

#### Spruce

red, white, and black
Balsam fir
Eastern hemlock
Northern white-cedar
Eastern white pine
Hardwoods

maple, birch, and aspen



## Historical context

History of repeated partial cutting
 Selective removals
 Degraded species composition



## Penobscot EF

1500-ha forest in central Maine
 Owned by University of Maine Foundation
 U.S. Forest Service

- silviculture experiment
- 60 years of research



## Penobscot EF



#### Shelterwood cutting

- Two-stage
- Three-stage
  - PCT

#### Selection system

- 5-year
- 10-year
- 20-year

#### • Exploitive cutting

- Commercial clearcutting
- Fixed diameter-limit
- Modified diameter-limit
- Reference





#### Shelterwood with PCT







### **Commercial clearcutting**

- onot a silvicultural clearcut
- all merchantable trees harvested in the 1950s and 1980s
- ono attention to regeneration



## Prior to rehabilitation

 dominated by sapling-sized trees, poorquality residuals and clumps and voids of vegetation
 degraded species composition



### Pre-treatment conditions



#### four replicates of three treatments

- no rehabilitation
- moderate
- intensive
- oprecommercial



## Data collection

• 0.4-ha treatment blocks

• 0.2-ha overstory and 0.005-ha sapling plots

- species, dbh and merchantability
- 0.0004-ha regeneration plots
  - species and height

crop trees

 species, dbh, height, height to crown and crown width

 photo points, variable radius (prism) plots and canopy gap fraction

#### **Moderate rehabilitation**

 objectives: improved growth and value, species and spacing
 release of crop trees ≥ 1.3 m

- hardwoods: 7.5-m
- softwoods: 5.0-m



#### **Intensive rehabilitation**

- objectives: improved growth and value, species and spacing
- $\odot$  release of crop trees  $\ge 1.3$  m
  - hardwoods: 7.5-m
  - softwoods: 5.0-m
- removal of non-commercial species and UGS
- o fill- and under-planting red spruce



#### Species Composition of Crop Trees



#### • 300 crop trees/ha



#### Control Moderate Intensive Brushsaw Chainsaw Herbicide Planting

#### How long treatment application took:



#### Overstory

- BA reduced by 1.2 m<sup>2</sup>/ha in both treatments
- Percent hardwood unchanged

#### • Understory

- BA reduced by 5.8 m<sup>2</sup>/ha in moderate and 7.6 m<sup>2</sup>/ha in intensive
- Percent hardwood reduced by 8% in moderate and 13% intensive

# fill- and under-planted 435 seedlings/ha first-year mortality: 17% many surviving seedlings were browsed



Projected hardwood and softwood BAs without (top) and with (bottom) intensive treatment:

#### Results





- **Forest Vegetation** Simulator, Northeast Variant (FVS-NE)
- rehabilitation of species composition takes many decades even after intensive treatment

higher softwood levels associated with treatment are subtle and take many decades to materialize

#### Cost of treatments:

- Intensive \$1,577/ha
- Moderate \$754/ha

Difficult to forecast stand value because crop tree selection implies quality improvements not shown in model.

At 4% real interest rate (after inflation) value of treated stands in 50 yrs needs to be doubled in the moderate and quadrupled in the intensive to break even.



# Implications

Results applicable to degraded forests throughout northern New England and adjacent Canada.

Early findings and projection results suggest that rehabilitation is very expensive and positive results take decades to emerge.

Current and future findings:

 inform management decisions for cutover and degraded forests, and

• serve as a cautionary tale for those considering short-term gains through exploitative partial cutting.

## Future directions

This study is part of the long-term Forest Service experiment on the Penobscot EF.

Repeated remeasurement is planned.

Evaluate growth model efficacy, treatment impacts on stem quality and value, and treatment outcomes.

On-going work : analysis of outcomes from projections and evaluation of growing space occupancy.

