Exceptional Points in the Polarization Space with Anisotropic Materials

Jean-François Bisson Department of Physics and Astronomy Université de Moncton

> Seminar at Michigan Technological University 31 March 2022





Université de Moncton

- French speaking university created in 1963
- Around 5 000 students
 [Moncton (main campus), Shippagan, Edmundston]





Hopewell Rocks, NB, Canada



Low tide

High tide



Thin films and Photonics Research Group

- Founded in 1984
- About 20 members
- Collaborations with academic and private sectors

Research fields

- Nonlinear optics
- Thin films and photonic crystals
 - Organic Light Emitting Diodes (OLED) and photovoltaic systems
 - Chromogenic materials (photochromic, thermochromic, electrochromic)
 - Pulsed laser deposition
 - Measurement techniques (spectrophotometry, ellipsometry, AFM, etc)
- Laser physics

PhD positions are available!

Contact: jean-francois.bisson@umoncton.ca

The Polarization of Light

$$\vec{E} = E_{0x} \cos(kz - \omega t + \varphi_x)\hat{i} + E_{0y} \cos(kz - \omega t + \varphi_y)\hat{j}$$



The Poincaré sphere



- Latitude: same ratio between axes
- Longitude: same orientation
- Diametrically opposed states are mutually orthogonal.
- Coherent superposition of states with different polarization can interfere if not mutually orthogonal :

 $I \propto \left\langle E^2 \right\rangle_t$ $I = I_1 + I_2 + 2\gamma \sqrt{I_1 I_2} \cos(\Delta \varphi)$ $\gamma = \cos(\beta / 2)$

Representing Polarization with Jones Vectors

$$\vec{E} = E_{0x} \cos(kz - \omega t + \varphi_x)\hat{i} + E_{0y} \cos(kz - \omega t + \varphi_y)\hat{j}$$
Jones vector: $\vec{u} = E_0 e^{i\varphi_x} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2)\exp(i\varphi) \end{pmatrix}_{\{H,V\}}$

$$E_0 = \sqrt{E_{0x}^2 + E_{0y}^2} \quad \tan(\theta/2) = \frac{E_{0y}}{E_{0x}} \quad \varphi = \varphi_y - \varphi_x$$

$$\vec{E} = \Re\left\{\vec{u}\exp(i(kz-\omega t))\right\}$$

The Manipulation of the Polarization

• Polarizers:



Diattenuation

45 Fast Axis Input Polarization

 ${\tt Ref.: https://www.photonics.com/Articles/A_Wave_Plate_for_Every_Application/a38685}$

Birefringence

• Waveplates:

Optical elements can be described with matrices

- Homogeneous : orthogonal eigenstates
 - Diattenuation \rightarrow Hermitian $H = H^{\dagger}$ $H \sim |^{\circ}$

- Birefringence \rightarrow Unitary $U^{-1} = U^{\dagger}$

$$H \sim \begin{pmatrix} \exp(k) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-k) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$U \sim \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i\delta) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-i\delta) \end{pmatrix}$$

• Inhomogeneous: non-orthogonal eigenstates

• Degenerate : only one eigenstate
$$Tr(J_{degen.})^2 - 4Det(J_{degen.}) = 0$$
 $J_{degen.} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \eta \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}_{(\vec{n} - \vec{n})}$

Ref.: S.-Y. Lu and R. A. Chipman, *Homogeneous and inhomogeneous Jones matrices*, JOSA A, **11**(2), 766-773, 1994 9

Polar Decomposition Theorem

One can always write an arbitrary Jones Matrix as a product of a Hermitian matrix with a unitary matrix.

$$J = HU = UH' \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{bmatrix} H^2 = J^{\dagger}J \\ H'^2 = JJ^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \quad U = JH^{-1} = H'^{-1}J$$

In the case of inhomogeneous matrices, the eigenvectors of U and H are different. The resulting eigenvectors of J are no longer orthogonal in general.

The relations between *H* and *U* for *J* to be degenerate?

Ref.: S.-Y. Lu and R. A. Chipman, Homogeneous and inhomogeneous Jones matrices, JOSA A, 11(2), 766-773, 1994

Obtaining degenerate element with polarizers and waveplates J = HU = UH'



In order to obtain a degenerate Jones matrix, two conditions must be met: 1. The eigenstates of U are maximally distant from those of H.

2. The birefringence and diattenuation must be carefully balanced [eq. (1)].

The resulting degenerate eigenstate of J is maximally distant from the other two pairs of eigenstates.

$$H \sim \begin{pmatrix} \exp(k) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-k) \end{pmatrix}_{\{\vec{h}_1, \vec{h}_2\}}$$
$$U \sim \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i\delta) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-i\delta) \end{pmatrix}_{\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2\}}$$

 $\cos(\delta)\cosh(k) = \pm 1 \quad [eq. (1)]$ $J_{degen.} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \eta \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}_{\{\vec{v}_{EP}, \vec{v}_{\perp}\}}$ of eigenstates

Anomalies in Light Propagation in Anisotropic Crystals

Cordierite



S. Pancharatnam 1934-1969



https://geology.com/minerals/cordierite.shtml



• Observation of fringes without analyzer.

Classification of Optical Materials

Optical Axes in Anisotropic Crystals

Uniaxial crystal

Biaxial crystal

 $n_x < n_y < n_z$

• In absorbing biaxial materials, there may still exist diattenuation in the direction of the optics axes. So the material remains optically anisotropic even in the direction of the OAs. 14

Observation of Exceptional Points in the Neighborhood of an Optical Axis

X

- : eigenstates for birefringence.
- : eigenstates for diattenuation.

: net eigenstates accounting for birefringence and diattenuation.

xoz plane

Perpendicular to xoz plane

Helically-Structured Thin Films

Methodology

- We use ellipsometry to measure the elements of the Jones matrix in reflection.
- We calculate its eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{j1} \\ u_{j2} \end{bmatrix} = \omega_j \begin{bmatrix} u_{j1} \\ u_{j2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$z_j \equiv \frac{u_{j2}}{u_{j1}}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\omega_j^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22})\omega_j + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) = 0$$

$$z_{\text{ero at an EP}}$$

$$\omega_z = \frac{(a_{11} + a_{22}) \pm \sqrt{(a_{11} + a_{22})^2 - 4(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})}}{2}$$

$$z_j = \frac{(-a_{11} + a_{22}) \pm \sqrt{(a_{11} - a_{22})^2 + 4a_{12}a_{21}}}{2}$$

The conditions for the coalescence of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues are equivalent

Results

Scalar product of the Stokes eigenvectors

There is a region around λ =800 nm and θ_{inc} =66° where the proximity of the two eigenvectors on the Poincaré sphere is large.

Parallel transport around an EP

The confirmation of the existence of the an EP is obtained by the switching of the eigenvectors after transport on a loop.

Why is it interesting?

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{j1} \\ u_{j2} \end{bmatrix} = \omega_j \begin{bmatrix} u_{j1} \\ u_{j2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\omega_j^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22}) \omega_j + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) = 0$$
Zero at a EP
$$\omega_{\pm} = \frac{(a_{11} + a_{22}) \pm \sqrt{(a_{11} + a_{22}) - 4(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})}}{2}$$
(a)
$$(a)$$

$$(a)$$

$$(a)$$

$$(b)$$

$$(b)$$

$$(b)$$

$$(a)$$

$$($$

- Enhanced (square-root) sensitivity of the eigenvector/eigenvalue to a change in the Jones matrix in the neighborhood of an EP.
- Experimentally, this requires one to constantly adjust the incident polarization state to an eigenvector of the Jones Matrix as the perturbation takes place.

834

How do we force light to be an eigenstate of a Jones matrix?

Answer: use active devices

How to achieve single frequency emission with a microchip laser?

Spatial Hole Burning in Lasers

Parity-time (PT) Reflection Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics

- Conventional knowledge: a Hamiltonian must be Hermitian
- A Hamiltonian can have a real eigenvalue spectrum and conserve probability *if it satisfies parity-time reflection symmetry* (Bender and Boettcher PRL **80**(24), 5243, 1998).

$$\hat{P}: \hat{x} \to -\hat{x} \quad \hat{p} \to -\hat{p} \\ \hat{T}: \hat{x} \to \hat{x} \quad \hat{p} \to -\hat{p} \quad i \to -i \\ [\hat{P}\hat{T}, \hat{H}] = 0$$

• $\hat{P}\hat{T}$ is not a linear operator => $\hat{P}\hat{T}$ and \hat{H} need not share the same eigenvectors

- Unbroken PT symmetry
 - All eigenvectors of *H* are simultaneously eigenvectors of *PT* operator
 - Entirely real eigenvalue spectrum
- Otherwise: broken PT symmetry

PT-symmetric Jones Matrices

• A 2 x 2 Jones matrix, J, is PT-symmetric if it commutes with PT:(PT)J-J(PT)=0

Ex.:
$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^* \\ b^* \end{pmatrix}$

• General form of a PT-symmetric 2 x 2 matrix (Wang, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 295301, 2010):

$$J_{PT} = \begin{pmatrix} A + B\cos\theta - iC\sin\theta & (B\sin\theta + iC\cos\theta + iD)\exp(-i\varphi) \\ (B\sin\theta + iC\cos\theta - iD)\exp(i\varphi) & A - B\cos\theta + iC\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

- Control parameter: $\chi \equiv C^2 / (B^2 + D^2)$
- χ value determines the degree of non-hermiticity of J_{PT} :
 - $\chi \leq 1$: unbroken PT-symmetry => eigenvalues are real
 - χ >1: broken PT-symmetry => eigenvalues are complex conjugate
 - $-\chi=1$: coalescence of eigenstates (exceptional point)

 $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}$

 $0 \le \theta < \pi$

 $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$

Jones Matrix Analysis of the Polarization Eigenstates

We use a pair or linearly birefringent and diattenuating mirrors:

We calculate the round-trip Jones' Matrix:

$$J_{PT} = \begin{pmatrix} A + B\cos\theta - iC\sin\theta & (B\sin\theta + iC\cos\theta + iD)\exp(-i\varphi) \\ (B\sin\theta + iC\cos\theta - iD)\exp(i\varphi) & A - B\cos\theta + iC\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I = \frac{1}{4} \Big[(r_{21} + r_{22})(r_{11} + r_{12})\cos(2\alpha) + (r_{21} - r_{22})(r_{11} - r_{12}) \Big] \qquad B = \frac{r_{21}r_{11} - r_{22}r_{12}}{2}\cos\alpha \qquad C = -\frac{1}{4} \Big[(r_{21} + r_{22})(r_{11} + r_{12})\sin(2\alpha) \Big] \qquad D = \frac{r_{22}r_{11} - r_{21}r_{12}}{2}\sin\alpha$$

$$\theta = \pi/2 \qquad \varphi = 0$$

• The round-trip Jones matrix is PT-symmetric if ... the r_{ij} have a π phase difference and if diattenuation exists ($r_{11} \neq r_{12}$, ...)

• α can be used to control $\chi \equiv C^2 / (B^2 + D^2)$ (exceptional point at $\chi = 1$)

Laser emission

Anisotropic mirrors

PT-symmetric Laser With Diattenuation

Insert a silica window for diattenuation and $\lambda/4$ waveplates for a π phase shift

Eigenvalues and Threshold of Laser Oscillation

Eigenvalue spectrum

Threshold (exp.)

- Sharp drop of the threshold of oscillation in the unbroken region
- Consistent with the larger magnitude of one eigenvalue.

Bisson et al., Phys. Rev. A, 102, 043522, 2020

Coalescence of the Polarization States at the EP

Theory vs experiments

The polarization states are found to merge at about $\alpha_{\rm EP} = \pm 5^{\circ}$

Contrast of the Standing Wave

For each mode, the intensity contrast of the standing wave drops to zero in the broken region. Spatial hole burning is suppressed in the broken PT-symmetry region.

Emission Spectra

Broken PT symmetry region

Unbroken PT symmetry region

For $\alpha > \alpha_{PE}$: single mode emission, two polarization states For $\alpha < \alpha_{PE}$: multimode emission, one polarization state

The next Step: Miniaturization using nanostructured thin films

Methods:

- 1. GLAD-made anisotropic thin films.
- 2. Photolithography on a conventional Bragg mirror

Summary

Anisotropic laser mirrors enable one to achieve a PT-symmetric Jones matrix of the polarization eigenmodes:

	Dual polarization emission	Multimode emission
Unbroken PT symmetry α<α _{EP}	Suppressed	Allowed by SHB
Broken PT symmetry α>α _{EP}	Allowed	Suppressed
At the EP α≈α _{EP}	?	?

Such device has potential for lasers emitting at a single frequency as well as for optical sensors.

Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledgments

Gabriel Gallant Shawn Lapointe Koffi Novignon Amouzou Kris Bulmer Alexandre Doucet Pierre St-Onge

